

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 1/7/2008
2. Agency: Department of Commerce
3. Bureau: Economic Development Administration
4. Name of this Capital Asset: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS)
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 006-06-04-00-01-6001-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Operations and Maintenance
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2003

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

OPCS and LBMS are components of EDA's Combined Major Applications System.

The Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) is a steady state, legacy database system, which captures and tracks information on EDA investment (grant) projects. OPCS is the official system of records for the bureau's investment projects, and produces the project processing documentation that serves as the basis for management information about EDA investments or projects. Information related to project processing reviews and eligibility, financing, special initiatives, contacts, performance measures, close-out, archiving and other miscellaneous information are recorded in this system. Historical information for EDA's project investments is available in OPCS. Each year, all projects considered for funding from EDA are entered into this system. The operational concept of OPCS is that it: 'provides an efficient automated project processing and tracking system for EDA's programs/investments,'provides agency-wide access to project information,'is maintained by ISD staff, but actively involves the OPCS User Group (which includes representatives from both headquarters and field office staff), 'permits paperless processing (by EDA internal staff) using electronic forms when applicable, of select components of the EDA project processing lifecycle,'enables reporting capabilities for current and historical data,'has embedded business rules to improve data integrity, and'takes advantage of user roles and profiles to enhance security. The system documents information provided in the EDA grant application, and provides post-approval investment monitoring capability to project officers in the field, and management officials in headquarters offices. The OPCS system also contains the data needed for performance measurement under GPRA to measure performance of the EDA grant program; and for the internal agency Balanced Scorecard.

LBMS maintains loan data for the life cycle loans within EDA's historical loan portfolio, from disbursement through close out, including all billing and collection information. LBMS is designed for use by headquarters accounting and liquidation staff. No other outside source has been found for this legislated function.

OPCS and LBMS are internal systems, accessed by EDA staff only, and are not available to any external entity or to the public. The systems are steady-state systems. There is no planned new development.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
- a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 10/1/2005
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
- a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? Mid/Journeyman-level
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? Yes
- a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes
- b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable) No

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS)
(Revision 12)

to non-IT assets only)

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? No

If "yes," check all that apply:

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? No

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) No

19. Is this a financial management system? Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIMIA compliance area? No

1. If "yes," which compliance area: NA

2. If "no," what does it address? The LBMS addresses loan information. The OPCS is not a financial management system.

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

System Name: Loan Billing and Mngmt System

Acronym: LBMS

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware	5
Software	25
Services	70
Other	0

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Title Chief Counsel

23. Are the records produced by this investment Yes

appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition:	0	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0	0	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance:	0.435	0.445	0.455	0.465					
TOTAL:	0.435	0.445	0.455	0.465					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	0.13	0.15	0.175	0.195					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	1	1	1	1					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:
NA

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS) (Revision 12)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:																* Costs in millions
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
GS06F02760	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	9/30/2005	11/1/2005	5/31/2008	3	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes		morie.gunter-henderson@noaa.gov	Level 3	

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

NA

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? Yes

a. Explain why: IT Requirement

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date? 9/23/2002

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2004		Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Delivery Time	Average time between request for ad-hoc report of grant/project information and submission of requested report.	In FY00, average time between request and submission was 36-72 hours.	Reduce average time to 8-24 hours	Average time to respond to information requests, 16-24 hours.
2005		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Electronic Access to EDA Applications via Grants.gov/OPCS interface	100% of EDA Applications Received Manually (paper based)	15% of EDA applications received via Grants.gov	TBD
2005		Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Availability	% of system availability	FY02 availability at 90%	99.99% availability (excluding scheduled maintenance, service provider outages and single points of access)	FY04 95% Availability
2005		Processes and Activities	Cycle Time and Resource Time	Cycle Time	Reduce processing time for verification of highest distress eligibility criteria by 50%	Manual processes require 2-4 weeks.	1-2 weeks	TBD - dependent upon integration with E-Grants & NOAA Grants/Online System
2005		Technology	Quality	Functionality	Number of Economic Development Representative (EDR) (remote field personnel) with access to system	No EDR access	100% EDR Access	May 2004 Communications Infrastructure modifications necessary for EDR access, complete.
2006		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Electronic Access to EDA Applications via Grants.gov/OPCS interface	100% of EDA applications received manually (paper based)	25% of EDA Applications received via Grants.gov	TBD
2006		Mission and Business Results	Controls and Oversight	Program Evaluation	Review BSC measures from	25% Reviewed	100% Reviewed	100% Reviewed

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS) (Revision 12)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
					data base			
2006		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Number of system components compliant with security policies (federal, Departmental, agency)	FY2003 Full Certification	Recertification accomplished every 2-3 years	FY03 Received Full Certification. Recertification due in FY06.
2006	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	Upgrade UNIX O/S and implement security requirements	0%	100%	
2007		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Electronic Access to EDA Applications via Grants.gov/OPCS interface.	100% of EDA applications received manually (paper based)	50% of EDA applications received via Grants.gov	TBD
2007		Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Availability	% of system availability	FY05 availability at 96%	99.99% availability (excluding scheduled maintenance, service provider outages and single points of access).	TBD
2007	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Mission and Business Results	Controls and Oversight	Corrective Action	Implement improved configuration management	25%	75%	
2007	1.2 Advance responsible economic growth and trade while protecting American security.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Savings and Cost Avoidance	Eliminate entry errors from duplicate bills in LBMS	3%	1%	
2007	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Technology	Effectiveness	User Requirements	Number of remote field personnel with access to OPCS	No access	75% of personnel provided with access	
2008	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Rewrite User Manuals	0%	100%	
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Workplace Policy Development And Management	Implement HQ BSC	0%	100%	
2008	2.3 Advance the development of global e-commerce and enhanced telecommunications and information services.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Financial Management	Reduce Number of Requests for DBA assistance with amendments	75 per year	5 per year	

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2008	2.3 Advance the development of global e-commerce and enhanced telecommunications and information services.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Storage	Full Upgrade to Oracle 10g or higher	0%	100%	

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

- 1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment: Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year: 10
- 2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment. Yes
- 5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG? No
 - a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?
- 6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses? No
 - a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Combined Major Applications System (OPCS and LBMS)	No	No	No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit	No	No Because the system not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			personal identifying information.		
Details for Text Options: Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted. Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN. Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.					

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes
 - a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. OPCS and LBMS
 - b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? No
 - a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
		Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Classification			No Reuse	0
		Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration			No Reuse	0
OPCS	Operations Planning and Control System	Business Management Services	Investment Management	Portfolio Management			No Reuse	0
LBMS	Loan Billing and Management System	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	0

- a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
- b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
- c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
- d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The

percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:				
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
OLAP	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Online Analytical Processing (OLAP)
Access Control	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Transport Layer Security (TLS)
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	NA
Data Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Application Connectivity
Data Mart	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	Virtual LAN (VLAN)

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

- 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 4/25/2006
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

NA

- 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

NA - Risk Management plan is in place.

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

- 1. Was operational analysis conducted? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. 2/14/2005
 - b. If "yes," what were the results?

OPCS is within the established cost baseline, aligned with EDA's strategic direction and the president's management agenda, continued to meet user needs, and stressed results in performance measures. Analysis results were accepted by EDA and DOC management.

- c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

- a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? Contractor and Government

2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table:

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS) (Revision 12)

Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table							
Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Planned		Actual		Variance	
		Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Schedule (# days)	Cost(\$M)
1	Security Controls - Audit Logs	8/31/2006	\$0.0038	8/31/2006	\$0.0032	0	\$0.0006
2	Ongoing Operations and Maintenance	9/30/2007	\$0.322				
3	Update OPCS with revised regulations	6/29/2007	\$0.1222				
4	Provide interface to CBS database at NOAA	10/31/2006	\$0.042				
5	Configuration Management	1/5/2007	\$0.075				
6	Edit LBMS Reports to Resolve Duplicate Billing Entries	6/30/2007	\$0.03				