

**Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary****Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)****Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. Date of Submission:   | 1/7/2008   |
| 2. Agency:   | Department of Commerce                             |
| 3. Bureau:   | Noaa (Nws)   |
| 4. Name of this Capital Asset:   | NOAA/NWS/ Weather Radio Improvement Project (WRIP) |
| 5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)  | 006-48-01-12-01-3124-00                            |
| 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) | Planning   |
| 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?  | FY2009   |
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:
- The NOAA National Weather Service (NWS) has a critical mission to provide weather watches and warnings, all hazards and other emergency messages to the public and emergency managers through the NOAA Weather Radio (NWR), NOAA Weather Wire Service (NWWS), and other dissemination systems. The Weather Radio Improvement Project (WRIP) was initiated in October 2004 to evaluate, update and modernize certain aspects of NWR and to consolidate the NWR and NWWS system infrastructure into a coherent, flexible, and cost effective integrated infrastructure.
- The objectives of WRIP are to: 1) Replace the obsolete NOAA Weather Radio (NWR) Console Replacement System (CRS); 2) Consolidate the current NWR and NOAA Weather Wire Service (NWWS) into a single network; and 3) Provide access to NWR transmitters for dissemination of live localized and national emergency voice alerts.
- The NWR CRS is at its end of life and ongoing support is at high risk due to parts obsolescence. The consequences of a failed CRS would cause the system to revert to a manual mode, delaying emergency broadcasts from seconds to minutes.
- The NWR Console Replacement System (CRS) has reached its end of life and cannot be supported due to parts obsolescence. Many of the Weather Forecasting Office (WFO) CRS systems could deteriorate and stop functioning without warning. If CRS is not replaced, NWR is at risk of losing broadcast capability at individual transmitters, thereby increasing risk to life and property. Also, the 10-year old CRS architectural design is not able to support all DOC IT security requirements without significant additional development.
- Further, the NWR telecommunication systems contain single points of failure and are a source of performance problems. The Post Katrina Service Assessment Report recommended, "... single points of failure need to be addressed, and communication devices that do not depend on the local infrastructure should be explored."
- In instances of terrestrial outages, WRIP would provide the capability to broadcast over NWR. WRIP will utilize satellite-based communications and operational service backup capabilities, and eliminate the risk of terrestrial communications failures.
- Consolidation of NWR and NWWS into a single network eliminates separate stove pipe systems and reduces costs for both programs. It is anticipated that this consolidation will result in an annual \$1.7M cost avoidance by reducing the O&M and communications costs.
- |   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?  | Yes       |
| a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?  | 3/20/2007 |
| 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?  | Yes       |
| 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? | No        |
| a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?  | Yes       |

Exhibit 300: NOAA/NWS/ Weather Radio Improvement Project (WRIP) (Revision 2)

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:

Expanded E-Government  
Budget Performance Integration

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

Expanded E-Govt. - WRIP will provide, an easy-to-use access Network for the Emergency managers and weather forecast offices around the Nation to effectively broadcast all-hazards weather information.  
Budget Performance Integration - WRIP resources are devoted to improving performance and achieving improvements in the NWS GPRA goals:  
• Increase application and accessibility of weather and water information as the foundation for creating and leveraging public, private, and academic partnerships.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit [www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)) No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 2

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?

1. If "yes," which compliance area:

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware	10
Software	18
Services	72
Other	0

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and

N/A

included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? No

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

**Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)**

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009
Planning:	0	0.2	0.55	0.59
Acquisition:	0	1.9	2.45	5.15
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0	2.1	3.00	5.74
Operations & Maintenance:	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	0	2.1	3.00	5.74
Government FTE Costs	0	0	0	0
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	0	0	0	0

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

**Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)**

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: NOAA/NWS/ Weather Radio Improvement Project (WRIP) (Revision 2)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
	GSA	Yes	9/14/2007		9/26/2008	3.9	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Carlson, Lamar	lamar.carlson@noaa.gov	Level 2	

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Yes

a. Explain why:

The Department of Commerce and NOAA Contracting Offices require the inclusion of Section 508 compliance language in the statement of work for all IT development contracts. In order to procure all COTS equipment and software, requestors are required to include with their purchase order a completed Voluntary Product Assessibility Template (VPAT) for review.

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

No

a. If "yes," what is the date?

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

Yes

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

A "formal" Acquisition Plan is not needed for the FY07 Design and Prototype procurement because the total contract value of \$3.9M is below the \$5M threshold that requires such a plan.

**Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)**

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at [www.egov.gov](http://www.egov.gov). The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2008	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Delivery Time	Procure a prototype that will demonstrate improved message timeliness.	NWR: 98% high priority message within 90 sec. NWS: 98% high priority message within 10 sec.	Still in design; system not yet operational.	TBD
2008	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Systems Security	Procure a system design that will incorporate all of the latest IT Security requirements for ~122 Weather Forecasting Offices (WFO's).	122 non-IT Security compliant WFO's.	Still in design; system not yet operational.	TBD
2008	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	Procure a system design to replace obsolete Console Replacement Systems at ~122 WFO's.	Currently there are ~122 WFO's with obsolete Console Replacement Systems that need to be replaced.	Still in design; system not yet operational.	TBD
2008	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	Procure a system design for ~1000 NWR stations that contains a more reliable communications infrastructure.	Current Communications system for ~1000 NWR stations consists of terrestrial dedicated circuits that are single points of	Still in design; system not yet operational.	TBD

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
						failure, costly, and lack reliability.		
2009	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Delivery Time	Develop a system that will demonstrate improved message timeliness.	NWR: 98% high priority message within 90 sec. NWS: 98% high priority message within 10 sec.	Still in development; system not yet operational.	TBD
2009	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Systems Security	Develop a system that will incorporate all of the latest IT Security requirements for ~ 122 WFO's.	122 non-IT Security compliant WFO's.	Still in development; system not yet operational.	TBD
2009	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	Develop a system to replace obsolete Console Replacement Systems at ~ 122 WFO's.	Currently there are ~ 122 WFO's with obsolete Console Replacement Systems that need to be replaced.	Still in development; system not yet operational.	TBD
2009	2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	Develop a system for ~ 1000 NWR stations that contains a more reliable communications infrastructure.	Current Communications system for ~ 1000 NWR stations consists of terrestrial dedicated circuits that are single points of failure, costly, and lack reliability.	Still in development; system not yet operational.	TBD

**Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)**

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified Yes and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year: 7

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment. Yes

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG? No

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses? No

a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

<b>8. Planning &amp; Operational Systems - Privacy Table:</b>					
<b>(a) Name of System</b>	<b>(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)</b>	<b>(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)</b>	<b>(d) Internet Link or Explanation</b>	<b>(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)</b>	<b>(f) Internet Link or Explanation</b>
WRIP - BMS	Yes	No	No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
<p><b>Details for Text Options:</b>                      Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.                      Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.                      Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.</p>					

**Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)**

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. NOAA Weather Radio (NWR) All Hazards Weather Network (NAHWN) aka Haz Collect and NOAA Weather Wire Service (NWWS).

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

<b>4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:</b>								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <a href="http://www.egov.gov">http://www.egov.gov</a> .								
<b>Agency Component Name</b>	<b>Agency Component Description</b>	<b>FEA SRM Service Domain</b>	<b>FEA SRM Service Type</b>	<b>FEA SRM Component (a)</b>	<b>Service Component Reused Name (b)</b>	<b>Service Component Reused UPI (b)</b>	<b>Internal or External Reuse? (c)</b>	<b>BY Funding Percentage (d)</b>
WW-LFW-DIS	NWR is a nationwide network of over 950 VHF radio stations broadcasting	Support Services	Communication	Computer / Telephony Integration			No Reuse	100

Exhibit 300: NOAA/NWS/ Weather Radio Improvement Project (WRIP) (Revision 2)

**4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:**  
 Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	continuous weather information directly from a nearby National Weather Service Office. NWR broadcasts warnings, watches, forecasts and other hazard information 24 hours a day covering all 50 states, adjacent coastal waters, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the U.S. Pacific Territories. Broadcasts are provided as a public service to more than 97% of the US population.							

- a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
- b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
- c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
- d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management

- a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications
  - b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.
6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No
- a. If "yes," please describe.

<b>Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information</b>
--

**Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)**

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?      Yes
  - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed?      10/25/2005
  - b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
  - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

**Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)**

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?      Yes
  - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?      10/25/2005
  - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?      No
  - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
  - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
  - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

To mitigate investment risks, the life cycle cost estimate is based on the use of Commercial-Off-the Shelf (COTS) hardware which is readily available through numerous commercial sources. Using certifiable COTS products outsources areas of expertise to vendors that support multiple manufacturers, bringing domain-specific expertise to the problem at hand. This helps reduce cost by using software whose core development and certification cost can be amortized across multiple customers, and it reduces risk by using products available today, rather than undertaking new hardware or software development and certification tasks.

To aid in the investment schedule the functional requirements for new software development are well understood and well documented.

The initial contract for WRIP is a Firm Fixed Price type. This further reduces investment risks for the government and places upon the contractor maximum risk and full responsibility for all costs and resulting profit or loss.

**Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)**

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748?      Yes
2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100)      No
  - a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?
  - b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:
  - c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No
  - a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete
		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost (\$M)	
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual			
1	WRIP Detailed Design and Prototype DHS Funds	9/30/2008	\$2	9/30/2008		\$2				0%
1	WRIP Detailed Design and Prototype NWS Funds	9/30/2008	\$2.1	9/30/2008		\$2.1				0%
2	WRIP Development	9/30/2009	\$3	9/30/2009		\$3				0%